FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAY 15 1959
JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

No. [redacted] 68

WABASH RAILROAD COMPANY,
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY,
ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY,
LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY,

Appellants,

vs.

COMMERCAL TRANSPORT, INC.,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois.

STATEMENT AS TO JURISDICTION

EUGENE S. DAVIS,
1667 Railway Exchange Bldg.,
St. Louis 1, Missouri.

URCHIE B. ELLIS,
135 East 11th Place,
Chicago, Illinois.

JOHN C. ASHTON,
300 Frisco Bldg.
St. Louis, Missouri.

J. L. LENIHAN,
908 West Broadway,
Louisville 1, Kentucky.

AMOS M. MATHEWS,
Room 280 Union Station Bldg.,
Chicago 6, Illinois,
Attorneys for Appellants.

La Salle Street Press—Chicago 10

INDEX

SUBJECT MATTER

	PAGE
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented	3
Statement of the Case	4
THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED ARE SUBSTANTIAL	
1.	
On a question of great importance to the transportation industry the District Court erroneously substituted its judgment for administrative determinations committed exclusively to the Commission.	7
2.	
On a question of fundamental importance in the basic rules of proof in administrative proceedings, the District Court's judgment is in conflict with decisions of this Court.	10
3.	
The District Court erroneously applied Schaffer Transportation Co. v. United States, 355 U.S. 83 (1957), to this case.	11
4.	
The District Court's judgment is in conflict with § 7(c) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 1006(c), and with decisions of this Court relating to burden of proof.	12
Conclusion	14
Appendix A—District Court's Opinion	15

PAGE

Appendix B—District Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law 24

Appendix C—Final judgment and decree 30

Appendix D—Report of Interstate Commerce Commission 31

Appendix E—Order of Commission suspending proceedings pending outcome of appeal ... 36

AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases

PAGE

Alton Railroad Co. v. United States, 315 U.S. 15 (1942) 3, 9, 13

Bangerter Extension, 71 M.C.C. 105 (1957) 8

Burnett Company, Inc. Extension, 64 M.C.C. 400 (1955) 8

Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Co. v. Illinois, 355 U.S. 300 (1958) 3

Federal Radio Commission v. Nelson Brothers Bond & Mortgage Co., 289 U.S. 266 (1933) 3

Harold C. Gabler Extension, 69 M.C.C. 663 (1956) 7

Gonzales v. United States, 348 U.S. 407 (1955) 10, 11

Interstate Commerce Commission v. Hoboken Mfrs. Railroad Co., 320 U.S. 368 (1943) 3

Interstate Commerce Commission v. Louisville & Nashville Railroad Co., 227 U.S. 88 (1913) 10, 11

Johnson Common Carrier Application, No. MC-117383 (Sub-No. 1), Mar. 10, 1959 7

Joint Anti-Facist Refugee Committee v. United States, 341 U.S. 123 (1951) 10

Eldon Miller, Inc., Extension, 68 M.C.C. 583 (1956) ... 7

M. I. O'Boyle and Son, Inc., Extension, No. MC-106965 (Sub-No. 78), Mar. 20, 1956 7

Ohio Bell Telephone Co. v. Public Utilities Commission, 301 U.S. 292 (1937) 10, 11

Peake Extension, 68 M.C.C. 45 (1956) 8

Port Norris Express Co., Inc., Extension, 76 M.C.C. 341 (1958) 7

S and S Truck Lines, Inc., Application, 74 M.C.C. 393 (1958) 7

PAGE

Schaffer Transportation Co. v. United States, 355 U.S. 83 (1957) 4, 11, 12

Claude A. Staats & Son, Inc., Application, 9 F.C.C. 301, 54 M.C.C. 822 (1952) 7

Transport, Inc., Extension, 76 M.C.C. 560 (1958) 8

United States v. Abilene & Southern Railway Co., 265 U.S. 274 (1924) 10

United States v. Carolina Freight Carriers Corp., 315 U.S. 475 (1942) 8, 9

United States v. Detroit Navigation Co., 326 U.S. 236 (1945) 9, 10

United States v. Interstate Commerce Commission, 337 U.S. 426 (1949) 3

United States v. Pierce Auto Freight Lines, 327 U.S. 515 (1946) 3

STATUTES

5 U.S.C. § 1006(c) 12, 13

28 U.S.C. § 1253 2

2284 2

2321 2

2322 2

2323 2

2324 2

2325 2

49 U.S.C. § 306(a)(1) 8, 9

§ 307(a) 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12

MISCELLANEOUS

11 Vanderbilt Law Review 1053, Oct. 1958 7

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

No. ____

WABASH RAILROAD COMPANY,
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY,
ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY,
LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY,

Appellants,

vs.

COMMERCAL TRANSPORT, INC.,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois.

STATEMENT AS TO JURISDICTION

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois, Appendix A hereto p. 15, is reported in 13 F.C.C. ¶ 81-241 (adv.) but is not yet reported in Federal Supplement.